Why was the laser invented so late?

Mario Bertolotti, a professor at the University of Roma, La Sapienza, Italy, and author of a comprehensive book on the history of lasers, continues the 2010 ICO series for the Year of the Laser

In the November 2009 issue of ICO Newsletter, Anthony Siegman discussed how the laser came to be made. As he said, the invention of the laser had its origins in the 1916 introduction of the concept of stimulated emission by Albert Einstein. The concept was subsequently discussed by several authors and used, for example, in theoretical formulations of dispersion by Hendrik A. Kramers (1924). As early as the 1930s, Rudolf Walther Ladenburg provided experimental evidence and realized that stimulated emission could be used for amplification.

The same concept was also mentioned in works by W. Bothe (1923), H. A. Kramers (1925), Richard Tolman (1924) and J. van Vleck. In 1924 the latter introduced the term induced emission, and negative absorption was often used, to describe the effect of stimulated emission.

At that moment the time was ripe for the invention of the laser, and in fact an optical approach to it was proposed by the Russian scientist V. A. Fabrikant (1940). In 1947 W. E. Lamb and R. C. Retherford encountered stimulated emission in connection with their experiment on what was later called the Lamb shift.

The question I want to address is: “Why was the laser not invented at that time?” No doubt one reason for not pursuing research in this area was the fact that there was no particular need for optical sources different from the existing ones. A second reason was that the basic properties of the emission processes were not yet completely understood. A third one might be that people were so used to dealing with equilibrium processes that they considered a non-equilibrium device like the laser unrealistic.

Let us consider these arguments separately. Light was mainly used for illumination purposes, and the relationship between spectral power and temperature was already well represented by Planck’s law. Note, however, that it was in trying to better understand Planck’s black-body distribution that Einstein introduced the concept of stimulated emission. Besides, black-body radiation is exactly the radiation that is emitted in thermal equilibrium.

The other applications of light were in the laboratory; mainly for spectroscopy, microscopy, and interference. All of this is related to the concept of coherence. Coherence – conveying the ability to produce interference fringes – was first studied by E. Verdet (1865) and M. A. Michelson (1890–1920), but a deeper understanding came with the research of P. H. van Cittert (1934) and, finally, of F. Zernicke, who in 1938 introduced the definition of the degree of coherence. A complete theory of coherence was later produced by Emil Wolf (1954), A. Blanc-Lapierre and P. Dumontet (1955), and finally by Roy Glauber (1963) who provided a full quantum mechanical treatment.

I would like to remind the reader of the great concern provoked by the R. Hanbury Brown and R. Q. T. Swiss experiment of intensity correlation (1956), which introduced the concept of correlation among photons – a concept that took a while to be accepted and that started the revolution of quantum optics that was completed later by R. Glauber. The successive experiments by L. Mandel and collaborators contributed a lot to understanding the problems, but we were already in the laser era by then.

As can be appreciated from this very short list, the most important property of a laser – its coherence – was not understood until after the invention of the maser (1954). This microwave device was the first operative device using stimulated emission. In the 1930s nobody was looking for a new source of light to be used in scientific applications. The problem of suitable sources was simply solved using light from gas lamps emitting on single lines, filtered spatially and temporally.

What about radio sources? Did people understand that they emitted coherently? Not at that time; and there was no reason to search for a light source with the same properties of the sources used for broadcasting.

So what did people understand about the emission process? Quantum electrodynamics – the full quantum-mechanical understanding of how light is emitted – started with P. A. M. Dirac in 1925. Fermi’s Review of Modern Physics article in the 1930s succinctly summarized what was known at that time about the interaction of light and matter.

It is significant that in the fundamental book by Dirac, Quantum Mechanics (I own a copy of the 1958 fourth edition), stimulated emission is mentioned in only two places (pages 177 and
practical application, and engineers were forced mechanics became popular with reference to engineers until that time. Because of this, quantum mechanics, a discipline unknown to most engineers, it was necessary to know quantum principle it was necessary to account for thermal equilibrium in a gas emitting and absorbing radiation. The term used is “certain”, perhaps meaning that it was not so important. And thermal equilibrium was again stressed.

Stimulated or induced emission was in that period the dominion of physicists, and physicists had more important things to play with. There was the problem of self-energy in electrodynamics and the extension of the interaction of quantum radiation with matter at high energies with the newly discovered positron (1932), the relativistic Dirac equation, the proposal of the existence of antiparticles, pair production, bremsstrahlung and Compton scattering – concepts and theories that had their proof in cosmic-ray research, not in new sources of light. Mesons had started to appear (1937) and a new understanding of nuclear forces was beginning.

In this respect it is worth remembering that after the invention of the laser, Lamb, speaking of his results of 1947, said that at the time he was not familiar with the concept of stimulated emission. Engineers – practical men making things – were not involved and perhaps even not aware of all these developments, which were considered only of theoretical interest to understand basic principles and were developed mainly in universities by academic people. Starting in 1934, engineers were instead progressively more and more interested in microwaves, which had assumed great relevance a few years before, and during the Second World War with the construction of radar. Resonant cavities were then well known to microwave engineers. The interaction of optical radiation with matter in a cavity was not of great concern. You might remember the Fabry–Perot cavity, invented by C Fabry and A Perot in 1899. That was not actually a cavity but an interferometer. No one considered it as a special kind of resonant cavity before the 1950s, as was done by R H Dicke, C.H. Townes, A Schawlow and G Gould, to name just the best known people.

A turning point occurred in 1948 with the invention of the transistor by J Bardeen and W.H. Brattain. To understand its working principle it was necessary to know quantum mechanics, a discipline unknown to most engineers until that time. Because of this, quantum mechanics became popular with reference to practical application, and engineers were forced to understand it. At that time classical and quantum concepts were mixed in the minds of everybody. Charles Townes, with his famous sitting and mulling on a park bench, generated the idea of the maser by using stimulated emission to create a completely new source of electromagnetic radiation.

People were already playing with the idea of using stimulated emission – for example, the V.A. Fabrikant proposal in the Soviet Union and the J. Weber proposal for amplification by stimulated emission. But it was Townes who put the idea on a firm rational basis and built a real device. It was considered, from the point of view of an engineer, as a possible extension of the microwave domain. What did it have to do with light?

Charles Townes and his brother-in-law Art Schawlow were puzzled by this problem. Was it possible to use stimulated emission again to cross the barrier and jump into the infrared-visible domain? The problem was beautifully addressed and hints to its solution were given in their Physical Review paper of 1958.

To better understand the spirit of those times, remember that colleagues at Bell Labs asked Townes and Schawlow to discuss in greater detail the modes of an optical cavity. Even after the first construction of the laser, the idea that a Fabry–Perot constituted a special type of resonant cavity was challenged by many.

It is worth noting that a purely optical approach was suggested by Gould, who did not use the traditional channels to discuss his ideas and preferred instead to obtain patents first, with the result of a number of patent litigations that eventually ended in his favour after more than 25 years. But with the exception of the bold suggestion by Gould, who entered into the optical domain with a number of different proposals, most people thought that using stimulated emission through inverted population was a very difficult task. Why? Because of thermal equilibrium – my third reason for the delay in the building of the laser.

People were used to believing that the deviation of a system from thermal equilibrium was a very small effect and of transient nature. Paradoxically, immediately after the war, research into microwaves led to the invention of magnetic resonance by F Bloch at Stanford and E.M. Purcell at Harvard, independently, in 1946, and of electron paramagnetic resonance by E. Zavoisky in the USSR. Transient inversion of populations was then obtained in magnetic resonance by F Bloch in 1946 and by E.M. Purcell and R.V. Pound in 1950–51, who eventually introduced the concept of negative temperature to deal with these situations.

However, people were still convinced that only pulsed regimes could be considered and that the deviation from thermal equilibrium was marginal.
Nobel prize recognizes 40 years of fibre revolution

One of the 2009 Nobel laureates in physics is Charles Kao, who is recognized for his seminal work that laid the foundation for fibre-based communication systems. Although more than 40 years have passed since his 1966 breakthrough paper, research into fibre design and propagation remains as hot a topic as ever, continuing to drive new science, both fundamental and applied.

1966 saw a milestone in communications when Charles Kao and George Hockham of Standard Telecommunications Laboratories in Harlow, UK, developed the vision of glass optical fibre as a practical communications transmission medium. The concept of using glass in this way was far from being evident, given the 1000 dB/km attenuation levels of the time, but Kao's calculations showed that aiming for a technically possible loss of 20 dB/km would yield fibre-based transmission that was commercially viable. Kao's work was critical in providing a realistic technological target for research in this area. Subsequent work over the 40 years since has of course seen tremendous development in many different areas such as glasses, sources, amplifiers and transmission protocols, and together these constitute the photonic technologies that make up the backbone of the modern information society.

Far from being a field that has reached saturation, however, research in fibre optics continues to develop at an ever-increasing pace. Naturally, there is still a great deal of research related to its use in high-capacity communications, but there are many applications unanticipated in the 1960s that are having a dramatic impact in other areas of science. For example, the development of advanced fibre-drawing technology and an improved understanding of the physics of fibre waveguides have led to the realization of a wide range of fibre-based components such as gratings and filters, couplers and interferometers. As well as being essential building blocks for lightwave systems, they have also been employed widely in fields such as optical sensing, and have been crucial in the transfer of many other optical technologies from the laboratory into the real world where device robustness is essential.

The design and application of the new class of photonic crystal fibre (PCF) is one recent area of research that has seen intense worldwide interest. PCF was first proposed in the 1990s by Philip Russell, who had the key insight that a microstructured cladding surrounding a fibre core yielded fundamentally new guidance mechanisms, as well as enhanced dispersion and nonlinearity engineering on a scale impossible in standard fibre. The significance of PCF for nonlinear optics was revealed in striking fashion in 1999 when Ranka et al. reported supercontinuum generation spanning 400–1500 nm using only nanojoule energy pulses from a modelocked Ti:sapphire laser. These results attracted immediate attention because of their potential application in optical frequency metrology, allowing complex room-sized frequency chains to be replaced by compact benchtop systems. This discovery was recognized in another share of a Nobel prize, this time in 2005 to Hall and Haensch.

Supercontinuum generation is a complex process that involves the interaction between a number of different nonlinear effects and the intrinsic linear dispersion of the fibre waveguide. As well as its application in frequency metrology, PCF-supported supercontinuum generation has made it possible to study in detail previously unappreciated aspects of complex nonlinear pulse propagation in optical fibres. Novel experiments using bandgap guiding PCF have taken gas- and liquid-based nonlinear optics to a new level and opened up new and important interactions with other fields of ultrafast optics. Indeed, although supercontinuum generation in PCF was reported nearly 10 years ago, the field continues to throw up surprises, particularly in terms of detailed studies of spectral stability properties. For example, under some conditions, supercontinuum generation yields unexpectedly large fluctua-
The success of waveguide engineering in silica-based fibres has been accompanied by parallel efforts to engineer other functional materials, such as chalcogenide glass and silicon. Of course, the field of silicon photonics itself continues to grow dramatically, and among the most recent results in this area are the report of a silicon-chip-based ultrafast oscilloscope and the drawing of long silicon fibres using practical drawtower techniques.

When one considers the last 40 years since low-loss optical fibre was first proposed, it becomes clear how fibre appears as a common factor in many groundbreaking experiments that have combined ideas and researchers from diverse domains, such as guided wave and gas-based nonlinear optics, ultrafast source development, nanophotonics, materials science and clinical medicine. It is likely that dramatic progress will continue in all of these fields, but perhaps the most genuine future breakthroughs will be made with unexpected applications at the boundaries between disciplines. In a more general vein, there is currently much worldwide debate over the way in which fundamental and applied research are supported, and this could have particular impact on developing countries where technology often takes precedence over curiosity. But one of the lessons that can be learned from the recent activities in fibre optics is that curiosity-driven research and applications often go hand-in-hand. Results of fundamental significance can arise in unexpected places provided one always keeps an eye out for potential breakthroughs.

John Dudley, contributing editor, Université de Franche-Comté, Besançon, France

ICO Prize goes to Rajesh Menon for nanolithography

Dr Rajesh Menon, currently a Utah Science, Technology and Research (USTAR) assistant professor in the Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering at the University of Utah and an affiliate of the Research Laboratory of Electronics at the Massachusetts Institute of Technology (MIT), has been selected by the ICO Prize Committee as the recipient of the 2009 ICO Prize for his “breakthrough achievement in nanolithography, in particular for his invention and development of the absorbance modulation method for a wider range of nanophotonic applications”.

Menon has a BEng from the Nanyang Technological University in Singapore. He gained his MSc and PhD in electrical engineering and computer science from MIT in 2000 and 2003, respectively, under the supervision of Prof. Henry I Smith. Menon also co-founded LumArray Inc., an MIT spin-off company that is commercializing optical-maskless nanolithography.

Traditionally, the smallest feature that can be patterned using light is limited to about half a wavelength. This “far-field diffraction limit” prevents visible light (λ > 400 nm) from resolving features below ~200 nm. A major research goal of Menon’s has been to overcome this barrier. As a first step he invented absorbance modulation, which enables the confinement of light to deep-sub-wavelength dimensions using far-field optics (see figure). He proposed this idea in 2004. Subsequently, he developed a theoretical model that verified the concept, achieved preliminary experimental verification of absorbance modulation in 2006, and demonstrated deep sub-wavelength patterning in 2008. His article “Confining light to deep sub-wavelength dimensions to enable optical nanopatterning” (Science 2009) garnered media coverage, primarily because of the fundamental nature of the breakthrough, and also because of the large number of potential applications enabled by this advancement. He also invented a dual wavelength lens to extend the application of absorbance modulation to two dimensions. In late 2008 he was able to extend absorbance modulation to opti-
From April 1979 to April 1983 Prof. Dumitru Mihalache was a researcher at the Laboratory of Theoretical Physics, Joint Institute for Nuclear Research, Dubna, Russia, working with Prof. V K Fedyanin in the field of nonlinear surface polaritons. In 1986 he spent a month in the group of Prof. M Bertolotti and Prof. C Sibilia at Rome University, Italy, working in the field of nonlinear surface and guided electromagnetic waves in planar structures. His seminal contributions in this field, which have become very important due to the practical imaging at the nanoscale.

In addition to the work for which he receives the ICO Prize, Menon has contributed to other important research and holds 17 patents, alone or jointly with others. His research interests include nanopatterning, nanofabrication, optical nanoscoppy, solar concentrators and plasmonics. During his doctoral studies, he was part of a team that developed an optical maskless patterning technology referred to as zone-plate-array lithography (ZPAL), which is based on a large array of diffraction-limited probes (tightly focused laser beams) created via diffractive optics. He led the effort to integrate the system and performed its initial characterization. Modifications to this system enabled scanning confocal microscopy with potential for massive parallelism. With colleagues, he designed, fabricated and characterized the first high-NA photon sieves (NA up to 0.9). He pioneered novel techniques to quantitatively estimate image contrast and measure point-spread functions, and led the effort to design, build and characterize the first water immersion maskless patterning system, which wrote 115 nm lines and spaces using 400 nm wavelength light. Under his supervision, a replication method for high-NA diffractive optics using nano-imprint lithography was developed and its lithographic performance characterized.

The first winner is Prof. Marat S Soskin, pioneer of singular optics.

Prof. Marat S Soskin was born in Kiev in April 1929. He graduated from Kyiv Taras Shevchenko National University (in what is now Ukraine) in 1952 and immediately after graduation was appointed head of the Spectral Industrial Laboratory in Donetsk (Ukraine). After four years there he began a PhD – and life-long career – at the Institute of Physics of the National Academy of Sciences of Ukraine (NAS), where he has led the Department of Optical Quantum Electronics since 1966. In 1970 he received the title “doctor of physical and mathematical sciences” for his research on solid-state lasers. He was appointed professor in 1972 and elected to the NAS in 1988.

During his more than half a century working in science, Prof. Soskin has made pioneering theoretical and experimental contributions that are recognized by the award committee in granting him half of the 2009 Galileo Galilei Award “for his achievements in the fields of tunable lasers, dynamic holography, and linear and nonlinear singular optics”. Though hindered through most of his career by adverse circumstances, he became a highly respected international figure, recognised especially for his work on singular optics, a research area whose name was coined by him in 1995.

In the words of Sir Michael Berry, Melville Wills Emeritus Professor of Physics at the University of Bristol, UK, and one of the supporters of Prof. Soskin’s nomination: “Almost single-handedly, he has transformed what was largely the playground of theorists into a thriving area of physics, in which many groups of experimentalists worldwide are actively exploring the fine structure of wave fields. His own research, carried out with very limited resources and under considerable difficulties (political and otherwise) in the small group that he leads, has identified one by one the principal features, first of the optical vortex singularities of waves that can be approximated by scalars, and now of the richer polarization singularities.”

The relevance of his productivity is confirmed by his numerous invited talks at international conferences and more than 280 publications (24 h-index), of which his pioneering paper on dynamic holography theory has received more than 1400 citations. Prof. Soskin holds 49 patents and has supervised 25 PhD graduates and 11 doctors of science.

Prof. Soskin has received numerous distinctions in Ukraine and the former USSR, having been honoured twice with the Scientific-Technical Prize, in addition to the Academician Sinelnikov Prize of the NAS. In 2009 he received the Academician Denisivuk Medal of the Rozhenstvensky Optical Society of Russia for outstanding achievements in physical optics, holography and its applications, and the Ukrainian state title Honoured Scientist and Technician of Ukraine.

Nearing his 80th birthday, Prof. Soskin is full of new ideas and carries remarkable enthusiasm and energy not reflecting his years. He is now leading research on nanotube/liquid crystal composites and has created optical singularities in laser beams propagating in the liquid crystal interface shell around nanotube clusters, demonstrating once again the qualities that make him an outstanding leader in the exciting field of singular optics.

The second winner is Prof. Dumitru Mihalache for his work on theoretical nonlinear optics.

Prof. Dumitru Mihalache for his work on his own research, carried out with very limited resources and under considerable difficulties (political and otherwise) in the small group that he leads, has identified one by one the principal features, first of the optical vortex singularities of waves that can be approximated by scalars, and now of the richer polarization singularities.”

The relevance of his productivity is confirmed by his numerous invited talks at international conferences and more than 280 publications (24 h-index), of which his pioneering paper on dynamic holography theory has received more than 1400 citations. Prof. Soskin holds 49 patents and has supervised 25 PhD graduates and 11 doctors of science.

Prof. Soskin has received numerous distinctions in Ukraine and the former USSR, having been honoured twice with the Scientific-Technical Prize, in addition to the Academician Sinelnikov Prize of the NAS. In 2009 he received the Academician Denisivuk Medal of the Rozhenstvensky Optical Society of Russia for outstanding achievements in physical optics, holography and its applications, and the Ukrainian state title Honoured Scientist and Technician of Ukraine.

Nearing his 80th birthday, Prof. Soskin is full of new ideas and carries remarkable enthusiasm and energy not reflecting his years. He is now leading research on nanotube/liquid crystal composites and has created optical singularities in laser beams propagating in the liquid crystal interface shell around nanotube clusters, demonstrating once again the qualities that make him an outstanding leader in the exciting field of singular optics.
recent discovery of discrete surface solitons at the edges of waveguide arrays, were achieved during two decades of extremely harsh economic and social conditions in Romania.

In recognition of his contributions made under comparatively unfavourable circumstances, the award committee granted Prof. Mihalache half of the 2009 Galileo Galilei Award “for his achievements in the field of theoretical nonlinear optics”.

Since 1990, Prof. Mihalache has received international recognition for his work and maintained numerous international collaborations. Recently, with Prof. H Leblond, Angers University, France, he performed theoretical studies of few-optical-cycle solitons beyond the slowly varying envelope approximation. He has published 200 articles in refereed journals in the area of theoretical optics with emphasis on nonlinear surface and guided waves (surface solitons), new types of surface waves and surface plasmon polaritons in layered media containing uniaxial crystals, spatiotemporal effects in nonlinear optical media (spatiotemporal optical solitons), vortex solitons in optics and in Bose–Einstein condensates, and on the adequate description of few-cycle optical solitons beyond the slowly varying envelope approximation.

Prof. Mihalache predicted the existence of stable three-dimensional spatiotemporal solitons supported by two-dimensional photonic lattices; he found that the Hamiltonian-versus-soliton norm diagram exhibits a two-cusp structure and, correspondingly, a swallow-tail shape (a unique “swallow-tail” bifurcation), which is a rare physical phenomenon. This unique feature is a generic one: it has been also found in radially symmetric Bessel lattices, a result suggesting a promising approach to generate stable “light bullets” in optics and stable three-dimensional solitons in attractive Bose–Einstein condensates.

He has been a member of the advisory editorial board for Optics Communications, and is currently on the editorial board for two Romanian publications: Romanian Reports in Physics and Optoelectronics and Advanced Materials–Rapid Communications. In 1985 he received the Constantin Miclescu Prize for Physics from the Romanian Academy, and in 2008 he was elected a Corresponding Member of the Academy of Romanian Scientists.
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